Open Access

Ontological visualization of protein-protein interactions Harold J Drabkin^{*1}, Christopher Hollenbeck², David P Hill¹ and Judith A Blake¹

Address: ¹Mouse Genome Informatics, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA and ²Department of Computer Science, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, USA

Email: Harold J Drabkin* - hjd@informatics.jax.org; Christopher Hollenbeck - hollec@rpi.edu; David P Hill - dph@informatics.jax.org; Judith A Blake - jblake@informatics.jax.org

Received: 09 December 2004 Accepted: 11 February 2005

* Corresponding author

Published: 11 February 2005

BMC Bioinformatics 2005, 6:29 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-6-29

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/6/29

s at the is available if oni. http://www.biomedcentral.

© 2005 Drabkin et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Cellular processes require the interaction of many proteins across several cellular compartments. Determining the collective network of such interactions is an important aspect of understanding the role and regulation of individual proteins. The Gene Ontology (GO) is used by model organism databases and other bioinformatics resources to provide functional annotation of proteins. The annotation process provides a mechanism to document the binding of one protein with another. We have constructed protein interaction networks for mouse proteins utilizing the information encoded in the GO annotations. The work reported here presents a methodology for integrating and visualizing information on protein-protein interactions.

Results: GO annotation at Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) captures 1318 curated, documented interactions. These include 129 binary interactions and 125 interaction involving three or more gene products. Three networks involve over 30 partners, the largest involving 109 proteins. Several tools are available at MGI to visualize and analyze these data.

Conclusions: Curators at the MGI database annotate protein-protein interaction data from experimental reports from the literature. Integration of these data with the other types of data curated at MGI places protein binding data into the larger context of mouse biology and facilitates the generation of new biological hypotheses based on physical interactions among gene products.

Background Protein networks

Cellular processes require the interaction of many proteins across several cellular compartments. Interactions can range in stability from persistent, such as between members of a stable complex, to transient, such as binding while being phosphorylated. Determining the collective network of such interactions should provide insight into which processes the individual members participate, and how they may be regulated. Understanding protein interaction networks requires two steps. First, the interacting proteins must be identified, usually through some experimental methods. Secondly, the significance of the interaction networks needs to be assessed. Recently, there has been a focus on devising large scale screening methods to collect data on interacting proteins [1-3]. Additionally, several strategies have been used to predict networks based on small peptide interaction [4], analysis of co-evolution of protein families [5], analysis of orthology [6], and co-inheritance [7]. However, many of these types of studies are hindered by their inability to place the significance of the interaction networks in the broader biological context.

In addition to the large screening efforts, a significant amount of specific protein-protein interaction data has been reported in the literature over the years. Quite often, these studies report on only a few interacting proteins. It is difficult to place these isolated, yet specific reports in the larger biological context and interconnect them with other data. Recently, there have been efforts to extract such literature-based interaction information using text mining [8], or combinations of text mining and other predictive methods [9]. These then can be integrated into larger protein-protein interaction datasets. The work reported here presents a methodology for integrating and exploring information on protein-protein interactions.

Model organism databases

Model Organism Databases (MODs) have been collecting diverse types of data about the genes and proteins from their respective organisms since the early 1990s (*e.g.* [10-13]). The goal of these databases is to integrate information about these organisms, placing experimental data in the context of the biology of the organism as a whole. Biological information on gene sequence, function, tissuespecific and developmental expression, as well as associated genetic and mutant phenotype data is incorporated into these systems. The documentation of protein-protein interactions and the integration with other data types allows potential for determining the significance of the interactions and placing these molecular interactions into greater biological context.

The Mouse Genome Informatics system (MGI) is the MOD for the laboratory mouse [14]. MGI integrates not only data used for GO annotation, but also data on a variety of aspects of mouse biology including gene sequence, orthologs, embryonic gene expression, alleles and their phenotypes, strains, and chromosome feature maps [15,16]. MGI provides highly curated information to the research community and to other bioinformatics resources [17].

GO annotation

The Gene Ontology Consortium provides the biological community a structured vocabulary with which to enable consistent functional annotation of genes and gene products. [18]. Guidelines for the use of the GO vocabulary are provided by the Consortium [19]. Users of the GO are required to submit their annotations in a specified format, which is then made available to the public via the GO database [20]. Each annotation row lists the object being annotated, the GO term that is being assigned, an evidence code specifying the type of evidence that was used

to make the assignment, and a reference. The format of the annotation includes the use of "modifier" fields which can be used either to modify the use of the term, or the use of the evidence code. One important modifier field is the "with" field. This field can be used to specify an external database link and provides the ability to qualify or support a given evidence code with a specific gene, nucleic acid sequence, protein sequence, or allele.

In the course of over six years, curators at MGI have made 79690 annotations to 15231 gene products using 3742 GO terms (All database statistics used in this paper are from the MGI release as of 7/30/04). The curation policy focuses on experiments in which the *murine* protein gene product is investigated. Many of the detailed annotations have been added on a paper-by-paper basis using the MGI literature collection that contains primary experimental information about mouse genes from over 90,000 references. The accumulation and use of these papers in annotation has been, for the most part, undirected. However, the structure of the GO and the relationships among terms allow grouping of the gene products that share common annotations. Such strategies may reveal hitherto unsuspected relationships between these proteins.

Annotation with "protein binding"

"Protein binding" (GO:0005515), as used by the GO in the Molecular Function ontology, is defined as "interacting selectively with any protein or protein complex" [21]. This term has 70 sub-terms. A gene product can be annotated to "protein binding" using the IPI (inferred from physical interaction) evidence code and the "with" or "inferred from" field when the protein that it binds to has been specifically identified. In the case of the IPI evidence code, the "with" field requires a protein identifier, such as a SwissProt/Trembl ID (now UniProt). MGI curators use this evidence code to curate experimental evidence that demonstrates protein interactions

An example of GO annotation that includes "proteinbinding" is shown for the gene product of *Ager*. In the case of *Ager* (advanced glycosylation end product-specific receptor, Figure 1), Takaki *et al.* [22] have demonstrated that the murine AGER protein binds to SPTR:Q8BQ02, the protein encoded by *Hmgb1* (high mobility group box 1). A curator at MGI has captured this information in an MGI GO annotation for *Ager*. For completeness, a curator also annotated the gene product of *Hmgb1* with "protein binding" with an IPI to SPTR:Q62151, the protein product of *Ager*, using the same reference. In this case, these are the only "protein binding" annotations for either of these proteins. These annotations represent an experimentally tested interaction of two proteins.



Figure I

GO annotations for At and Lnk as displayed at MGI. The annotatons to GO:0005515, protein binding, are marked. The circled SPTR_ID points to the MGI marker that it is associated with. The two annotations share the same reference.

Beyond this specific reference, either of these two proteins could have further annotations from separate experiments reported in other references reporting binding to other proteins, which in turn have been annotated to binding to still others, thereby outlining a network of protein interactions. An example of a simple network is shown in Figure 2. The protein product of *Hcph* (hemopoietic cell phosphatase), has been shown to bind both the protein product of Jak2 (Janus kinase 2) ([23]) and Klrb1b (killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily B member 1B) ([24]). JAK2 not only binds HCPH ([23]), but also SOCS1 (suppressor of cytokine signaling 1) [25], which in turn has been shown to bind PIM2 (proviral integration site 2) ([26]). KLRB1B has been demonstrated to bind OCIL (osteoclast inhibitory lectin) ([24]), which binds KLRB1D (killer cell lectin-like receptor Subfamily B member 1D) [27,24]. Thus, a seven member "network" has been described by integrating the data several independent investigations.

MGI has presently 1851 genes annotated to the term GO:0005515, "protein binding", or its sub-terms. These genes have 2247 annotations to this term, indicating that

some of the gene products must bind more than one protein. These annotations were made independently over the years as curators entered data reference by reference. By collecting all of these annotation pairs, and identifying shared partners, it is possible to search for the presence of more complex networks that were not necessarily identified in each original piece of research literature.

Results & discussion

Discovery by inference

Figure 3 shows all 1318 annotated interactions captured by GO annotation. These include 129 binary interactions, and 125 interaction sets of three or greater. Figure 4 displays some of the associations in more detail. Figure 4A displays three sets of heterodimers. Figure 4B shows interactions among three proteins. Note the loop-back in the case of TIMELESS. This indicates that the protein forms a homodimer. Many of the annotation networks depict interactions among the subunits of protein and or riboprotein complexes. For example, Figure 4C shows the interactions of Cops (constitutive photomorphogenic) proteins homologs. These have been shown to assemble



Figure 2

Construction of a simple protein interaction network using GO annotations to protein binding.

into a "signalosome complex" (GO:0008180) [28]. Thus, the GO data implicitly reveals connections among the many separate annotations to "protein-binding" made over the course of collecting data at MGI.

Utilization of the interaction web to infer biological process information for experimentally uncharacterized genes (guilt by association)

There are instances in the annotations where a protein product has been shown to be able to bind another protein, but otherwise, nothing is known about the biological role of the protein. In these cases, MGI curators make an annotation to "protein binding", but also use a special annotation to indicate that nothing is known about the cellular location (GO:0008372, "cellular_component unknown") of the gene product or the process it is involved in (GO:0000004, "biological_process unknown"). A simple example is seen in the case of TIPIN (timeless interacting protein) (Figure 3B). It has been shown to bind the protein product of *Timeless*, a homolog of the *Drosophila* gene [29]. However, GO annotation of *Timeless* indicates that it is involved in biological processes of lung development and branching morphogenesis [30], and thus we would predict that *Tipin*, which is currently annotated to "biological_process unknown" might also play a role in these processes. Additionally, the Gene Expression index in MGI indicates that the *Tipin* is expressed in similar spatial and temporal patterns as *Timeless*, supporting the hypothesis that *Tipin* may be involved in similar processes. that the interaction may be significant [29]. These inferences can form the basis for directed experiments, such studying the effects of antisense RNA inhibition, as has been done for *Timeless* [30].

Cellular location may also be inferred from protein interactions. SOCS1 (suppressor of cytokine signaling 1) has





"kinase inhibitor activity" (GO:0019210) and has been implemented in the "cytokine and chemokine mediated signaling pathway" (GO:0019221), and the JAK-STAT cascade (GO:0007259). However, its cellular location has not been documented in the available mouse literature. Analysis of the SOCS1 protein using predictive software such as Psort [31]) and SubLoc [32] predict that SOCS1 is a nuclear protein. However, there is as yet no direct evidence that this is so. The murine SOCS1 binds to JAK2 (Figure 3D[26]) which has been reported to be localized to the cytoplasm [33]. Therefore, we might expect that SOCS1 may also be localized to the cytoplasm. So, algorithmic evidence predicts that SOCS1 may also be localized to the nucleus and to the cytoplasm. These two independent predictions could stimulate investigations by direct experimentation. Although these types of analy-





ses can be repeated for several proteins, their utility becomes unwieldy when analyzing networks larger than a few components.

Analysis of larger interaction sets

Three networks involve over 30 partners, the largest involving 109 proteins (Figure 5). Can we draw any inferences from these networks? Do they have anything in common? Several tools are available for using the GO in analysis and visualization of groupings of genes with respect to additional parameters after they have been selected by an experiment method, such as a microarray analysis, etc. In this case, our "method' is the mining of documented measurements of protein binding. These tools include GO_Term_Finder and GO_Slim Chart Tool) [34] Figure 6). The GO_Slim Chart Tool bins sets of genes based on shared annotations to specific predefined GO subtrees. It therefore reveals to a User the annotations that their genes have in common. The GO_Slim used for this study is summarized at the following site [35].

For the set of 109 proteins shown in figure 5A fifty-one of the gene products have annotations that fall into the "signal transduction" bin (Figure 6A). A number of the gene products in Figure 5B have been annotated to processes involved in proliferation (twenty proteins) and protein metabolism (seventeen), and twenty-two are nuclear (Figure 6B and 6C). Finally, fifteen of the gene products in the third largest set are involved in transport (Figure 6D). In all of these cases, one might begin to develop hypotheses to test whether the unannotated members of the networks may be involved in these processes.

Tools such as GO_Term_Finder [36] and its graphical counterpart Vlad [37] can be useful in finding commonality as well suggesting additional information about the roles of proteins in the cell which could be then tested experimentally. GO_Term finder computes the significance of the annotations for a selected set of genes within an annotation set compared to all the annotations of the entire set using a hypergeometric distribution algorithm. In this study, the entire set is the set of all genes in MGI with GO annotation. For example, for the 109 gene products shown in Figure 5A, thirty-two have process annotations for signal transduction or one of its subterms (p < p1.0E-23), suggesting that the interaction of the proteins may depict a large signal transduction network. Thirty-six of 109 gene products currently have either no annotation to the process ontology, or are annotated to "biological_process_unknown". These proteins may also







Figure 6

GO_Slim binning, displaying the faction of the total number of genes of either the data set or all genes in MGI falling into the indicated bins. Panel A, process binning for the 109 member set. Panels B and C, process and component for the 40 member set. Panel C, process binning for the 31 member set.

be involved in the process of signal transduction. Seventeen the proteins depicted in the 40-member network (Figure 5B) have been annotated to "regulation of the cell cycle" (GO:0000074, p < 1.0E-26). Therefore 1190002H23Rik is likely involved in regulation of the cell cycle. Further support for this is that this protein has been annotated to be involved in the "cell cycle" based on sequence similarity to human RGC32 [38].

Finally, twelve of the proteins displayed in Figure 5C have annotations to exocytosis or its children in common (GO:0006887, p < 1.0E-23).

The networks suggested by the collection of annotations to this GO term involve interactions that are more or less

stable under experimental conditions. A gene product is shown to have protein binding activity by a variety of direct assays such as yeast two-hybrid screening [39], coimmunoprecipitation and other immunoaffinity methods [40], GST-or other tag pull-down assays [41], fluorescence resonance transfer [42], or other direct measurements [43]. Due to the nature of some of the assays, caution must be taken when attributing significance. For example, false positives may obtained from yeast two-hybrid assays for a variety of reasons [44]. Therefore, confirmation by other methods, such as coimmunoprecipitation, may strengthen the likelihood of the implied interaction. Currently, the GO annotation does not allow for the capture of any distinction among these assays, with the result that they are all included together. Despite these serious considerations, large data sets can be effectively examined using these procedures and the results can provide a basis for directed hypotheses and experimentation.

Integration with MGI

The Mouse Genome Informatics system integrates not only data used for GO annotation, but also data on a variety of aspects of mouse biology including embryonic gene expression, alleles and their phenotypes, and chromosome location. The integration of these datasets allows for complex queries, such as "list all genes expressed in the liver at Tyler Stage 15, located on chromosome 12, annotated to "protein binding" AND "nucleus". The integration of protein-protein network visualization into such queries can aide in determining the significance of more complex interaction networks. By combining the above query with our graphical tools, it is possible to get a graphical view of all protein interaction networks in the nucleus of a 9.5 dpc mouse embryo. As annotation progresses and becomes more complete, these types of queries will become more and more informative.

During the generation of the interaction sets, it was found that programs such as Graphviz, could easily visualize missing annotations based on the interaction of two proteins. When information about a protein comes from different sources, a curator that is curating a single reference may not necessarily record all of the information implied by a physical interaction, such as cellular location in the example above. Views such as Graphviz can help curators to spot missing data and they may at some point be useful in themselves to display annotations.

MGI curators aggressively adopted the use of the "with" field when annotating to "protein binding" during the early stages of annotation efforts at the database. Similar networks may also be mined from the GO data sets available from the other model organism databases participating in the GO. Recently, Lehner and Fraser used GO annotation to analyze a human interaction set predicted from orthology to yeast, *Drosophila*, and *C. elegans* interaction sets [45]. The GO is used by many species-specific organism databases to annotate gene products. The use of these annotation sets to construct species-specific interaction will compliment curated interaction resources such as BIND [46] and HPRD [47] to guide hypothesis generation in suggesting specific experimental investigations.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that functional annotations curated via GO hierarchies can be used to obtain a summary set from independent annotations to "protein-binding" to form protein-protein interaction networks. The members of these protein-protein interaction sets can be further examined for additional shared GO annotations. Integration of these data with the other types of data curated at MGI places protein binding data into the larger context of mouse biology and will aid in the discovery of new biological knowledge based on physical interactions among gene products.

Methods

Gene annotations for protein binding interactions are made by manual inspection of published literature. In every case, experimental evidence is supplied in the manuscript to support the interaction that is reported. Annotation of genes to other GO terms is made by a variety of methods including the conservative translation of functional information contained in SwissProt protein records, conservative inference from InterPro domains, and manual curation of the published literature.

Data was obtained from the Mouse Genome Informatics system by use of custom SQL queries to collect all markers that had been annotated to "protein binding" or its children using the IPI evidence code. The protein sequence identifier in the "inferred from field" was matched to the appropriate gene in the database. The final output consisted of a two-column file with column 1 being the first protein, and column 2 the protein it binds. This formed the basic data set that was passed to Graphviz [48] for display. Additional Perl scripts were used to separate out each individual network.

The two column lists were also used as the basis for data files listing all unique genes in each network. These were then used for input files for GO_Slim Tool [34] and GO_Term finder [36]. These files are available on the MGI ftp site <u>http://ftp.informatics.jax.org</u>.

GraphViz on the Macintosh OS X platform is a product of Pixelglow [49]. GraphViz is an open source program made available by ATT [50].

Authors' contributions

HJD conceived of and designed this study and implemented the graphical displays using Graphviz and analyzed the significance of the results. He has also been involved in contributing to the GO annotations. CH devised the Perl scripts used to parse out individual interaction sets and removal of redundancies. DPH helped to draft the manuscript and contributed to the annotations. JAB has been involved in the overall design of the GO project at MGI and of gene annotations in MGI overall, and has added critical revisions for important intellectual content to this paper.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Lucie Hutchins and Lori Corbani for local assistance with this project. We also like to thank Joel Richardson for input on the use of

GraphViz. MGI database resources are funded by NHGRI (HG00330,), NIH/NICHD (HD33745), and NCI (CA89713). The Gene Ontology Project is funded by NHGRI (HG02273).

References

- 1. Lappe M, Holm L: Unraveling protein interaction networks with near-optimal efficiency. *Nat Biotechnol* 2004, **22**:98-103.
- Li S, Armstrong CM, Bertin N, Ge H, Milstein S, Boxem M, Vidalain PO, Han JD, Chesneau A, Hao T, Goldberg DS, Li N, Martinez M, Rual JF, Lamesch P, Xu L, Tewari M, Wong SL, Zhang LV, Berriz GF, Jacotot L, Vaglio P, Reboul J, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Li Q, Gabel HW, Elewa A, Baumgartner B, Rose DJ, Yu H, Bosak S, Sequerra R, Fraser A, Mango SE, Saxton WM, Strome S, Van Den Heuvel S, Piano F, Vandenhaute J, Sardet C, Gerstein M, Doucette-Stamm L, Gunsalus KC, Harper JW, Cusick ME, Roth FP, Hill DE, Vidal M: A map of the interactome network of the metazoan C. elegans. Science 2004, 303:540-543.
- 3. Causier B: Studying the interactome with the yeast twohybrid system and mass spectrometry. Mass Spectrom Rev 2004, 23:350-367.
- Landgraf C, Panni S, Montecchi-Palazzi L, Castagnoli L, Schneider-Mergener J, Volkmer-Engert R, Cesareni G: Protein interaction networks by proteome Peptide scanning. PLoS Biol 2004, 2:E14.
- Kim WK, Bolser DM, Park JH: Large-scale co-evolution analysis of protein structural interlogues using the global protein structural interactome map (PSIMAP). Bioinformatics 2004, 20:1138-1150.
- 6. Huang TW, Tien AC, Huang WS, Lee YC, Peng CL, Tseng HH, Kao CY, Huang CY: **POINT:** a database for the prediction of protein-protein interactions based on the orthologous interactome. *Bioinformatics* 2004, **20**:3273-3276.
- 7. Date SV, Marcotte EM: Discovery of uncharacterized cellular systems by genome-wide analysis of functional linkages. Nat Biotechnol 2003, 21:1055-1062.
- Daraselia N, Yuryev A, Egorov S, Novichkova S, Nikitin A, Mazo I: Extracting human protein interactions from MEDLINE using a full-sentence parser. *Bioinformatics* 2004, 20:604-611.
- Nagashima T, Silva DG, Petrovsky N, Socha LA, Suzuki H, Saito R, Kasukawa T, Kurochkin IV, Konagaya A, Schonbach C: Inferring higher functional information for RIKEN mouse full-length cDNA clones with FACTS. *Genome Res* 2003, 13:1520-1533.
- Christie KR, Weng S, Balakrishnan R, Costanzo MC, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Engel SR, Feierbach B, Fisk DG, Hirschman JE, Hong EL, Issel-Tarver L, Nash R, Sethuraman A, Starr B, Theesfeld CL, Andrada R, Binkley G, Dong Q, Lane C, Schroeder M, Botstein D, Cherry JM: Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD) provides tools to identify and analyze sequences from Saccharomyces cerevisiae and related sequences from other organisms. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32 Database issue:D311-4.
- Flybase Consortium: The FlyBase database of the Drosophila genome projects and community literature. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:172-175.
- Harris TW, Chen N, Cunningham F, Tello-Ruiz M, Antoshechkin I, Bastiani C, Bieri T, Blasiar D, Bradnam K, Chan J, Chen CK, Chen WJ, Davis P, Kenny E, Kishore R, Lawson D, Lee R, Muller HM, Nakamura C, Ozersky P, Petcherski A, Rogers A, Sabo A, Schwarz EM, Van Auken K, Wang Q, Durbin R, Spieth J, Sternberg PW, Stein LD: WormBase: a multi-species resource for nematode biology and genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32 Database issue:D411-7.
- 13. Rhee SY, Beavis W, Berardini TZ, Chen G, Dixon D, Doyle A, Garcia-Hernandez M, Huala E, Lander G, Montoya M, Miller N, Mueller LA, Mundodi S, Reiser L, Tacklind J, Weems DC, Wu Y, Xu I, Yoo D, Yoon J, Zhang P: The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): a model organism database providing a centralized, curated gateway to Arabidopsis biology, research materials and community. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:224-228.
- 14. The Mouse Genome Informatics System [http://www.infor matics.jax.org]
- Blake JA, Richardson JE, Bult CJ, Kadin JA, Eppig JT: MGD: the Mouse Genome Database. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:193-195.
- Bult CJ, Blake JA, Richardson JE, Kadin JA, Eppig JT, Baldarelli RM, Barsanti K, Baya M, Beal JS, Boddy WJ, Bradt DW, Burkart DL, Butler NE, Campbell J, Corey R, Corbani LE, Cousins S, Dene H, Drabkin HJ, Frazer K, Garippa DM, Glass LH, Goldsmith CW, Grant PL, King BL, Lennon-Pierce M, Lewis J, Lu I, Lutz CM, Maltais LJ, McKenzie LM,

Miers D, Modrusan D, Ni L, Ormsby JE, Qi D, Ramachandran S, Reddy TB, Reed DJ, Sinclair R, Shaw DR, Smith CL, Szauter P, Taylor B, Vanden Borre P, Walker M, Washburn L, Witham I, Winslow J, Zhu Y: **The Mouse Genome Database (MGD): integrating biology with the genome.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32 Database issue:**D476-81.

- Eppig JT, Bult CJ, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Blake JA, Anagnostopoulos A, Baldarelli RM, Baya M, Beal JS, Bello SM, Boddy WJ, Bradt DW, Burkart DL, Butler NE, Campbell J, Cassell MA, Corbani LE, Cousins SL, Dahmen DJ, Dene H, Diehl AD, Drabkin HJ, Frazer KS, Frost P, Glass LH, Goldsmith CW, Grant PL, Lennon-Pierce M, Lewis J, Lu I, Maltais LJ, McAndrews-Hill M, McClellan L, Miers DB, Miller LA, Ni L, Ormsby JE, Qi D, Reddy TB, Reed DJ, Richards-Smith B, Shaw DR, Sinclair R, Smith CL, Szauter P, Walker MB, Walton DO, Washburn LL, Witham IT, Zhu Y: The Mouse Genome Database (MGD): from genes to mice--a community resource for mouse biology. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33 Database Issue:D471-5.
- 18. Harris MA, Clark J, Ireland A, Lomax J, Ashburner M, Foulger R, Eilbeck K, Lewis S, Marshall B, Mungall C, Richter J, Rubin GM, Blake JA, Bult C, Dolan M, Drabkin H, Eppig JT, Hill DP, Ni L, Ringwald M, Balakrishnan R, Cherry JM, Christie KR, Costanzo MC, Dwight SS, Engel S, Fisk DG, Hirschman JE, Hong EL, Nash RS, Sethuraman A, Theesfeld CL, Botstein D, Dolinski K, Feierbach B, Berardini T, Mundodi S, Rhee SY, Apweiler R, Barrell D, Camon E, Dimmer E, Lee V, Chisholm R, Gaudet P, Kibbe W, Kishore R, Schwarz EM, Sternberg P, Gwinn M, Hannick L, Wortman J, Berriman M, Wood V, de la Cruz N, Tonellato P, Jaiswal P, Seigfried T, White R: The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32 Database issue:D258-61.
- Consortium GO: GO Annotation Guide. [<u>http://www.geneontology.org/GO.annotation.html</u>].
- 20. Gene Ontology Consortium [http://www.geneontology.org/]
- 21. Gene Ontology Browser [http://www.informatics.jax.org/ searches/GO.cgi?id=GO:0005515]
- Takaki S, Morita H, Tezuka Y, Takatsu K: Enhanced hematopoiesis by hematopoietic progenitor cells lacking intracellular adaptor protein, Lnk. J Exp Med 2002, 195:151-160.
- Jiao H, Berrada K, Yang W, Tabrizi M, Platanias LC, Yi T: Direct association with and dephosphorylation of Jak2 kinase by the SH2-domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-I. Mol Cell Biol 1996, 16:6985-6992.
- Carlyle JR, Martin A, Mehra A, Attisano L, Tsui FW, Zuniga-Pflucker JC: Mouse NKR-PIB, a novel NKI.1 antigen with inhibitory function. J Immunol 1999, 162:5917-5923.
- Endo TA, Masuhara M, Yokouchi M, Suzuki R, Sakamoto H, Mitsui K, Matsumoto A, Tanimura S, Ohtsubo M, Misawa H, Miyazaki T, Leonor N, Taniguchi T, Fujita T, Kanakura Y, Komiya S, Yoshimura A: A new protein containing an SH2 domain that inhibits JAK kinases. Nature 1997, 387:921-924.
- Chen XP, Losman JA, Cowan S, Donahue E, Fay S, Vuong BQ, Nawijn MC, Capece D, Cohan VL, Rothman P: Pim serine/threonine kinases regulate the stability of Socs-I protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002, 99:2175-2180.
- lizuka K, Naidenko OV, Plougastel BF, Fremont DH, Yokoyama WM: Genetically linked C-type lectin-related ligands for the NKRPI family of natural killer cell receptors. Nat Immunol 2003, 4:801-807.
- Wei N, Tsuge T, Serino G, Dohmae N, Takio K, Matsui M, Deng XW: The COP9 complex is conserved between plants and mammals and is related to the 26S proteasome regulatory complex. Curr Biol 1998, 8:919-922.
- 29. Gotter AL: Tipin, a novel timeless-interacting protein, is developmentally co-expressed with timeless and disrupts its self-association. *J Mol Biol* 2003, **331**:167-176.
- Xiao J, Li C, Zhu NL, Borok Z, Minoo P: Timeless in lung morphogenesis. Dev Dyn 2003, 228:82-94.
- 31. Nakai K, Horton P: **PSORT:** a program for detecting sorting signals in proteins and predicting their subcellular localization. Trends Biochem Sci 1999, **24:**34-36.
- 32. Hua S, Sun Z: Support vector machine approach for protein subcellular localization prediction. *Bioinformatics* 2001, 17:721-728.
- Olsen H, Hedengran Faulds MA, Saharinen P, Silvennoinen O, Haldosen LA: Effects of hyperactive Janus kinase 2 signaling in mammary epithelial cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002, 296:139-144.

- MGI Gene Ontology GO_Slim Chart Tool [http://www.spa 34. tial.maine.edu/%7Emdolan/MGI GO Slim Chart.html]
- 35. MGI GO Slim Categories [http://www.spatial.maine.edu/ <u>7Emdolan/MGI_GO_Slim.html]</u>
- 36. MGI Gene Ontology Term Finder [http://www.spa tial.maine.edu/%7Emdolan/MGI_Term_Finder.html] VLAD - VisuaL Annotation Display [htt
- [http://proto.informat 37 ics.jax.org/prototypes/vlad/]
- Badea T, Niculescu F, Soane L, Fosbrink M, Sorana H, Rus V, Shin ML, 38 Rus H: RGC-32 Increases p34CDC2 Kinase Activity and Entry of Aortic Smooth Muscle Cells into S-phase. J Biol Chem 2002, 277:502-508
- 39. Fields S, Song OK: A nove genetic sysem to detect protein-protein interactions. Nature 1989, 340:245-246.
- Burgess RR, Thompson NE: Advances in gentle immunoaffinity 40 chromatography. Curr Opin Biotech 2002, 13:304-309.
- Harris M: Use of GST-fusion and related constructs for the identification of interacting proteins. Methods Mol Biol 1998, 88:87-99
- Rye HS: Application of fluorescence resonance energy trans-42. fer to the GroEL-GroES chaperonin reaction. Methods 2001, 24:278-288
- 43. Phizicky EM, Fields S: Protein-protein interactions: methods for detection and analysis. Microbiol Rev 1995, 59:94-123.
- 44. Fromont-Racine M, Rain JC, Legrain P: Building protein-protein networks by two-hybrid mating strategy. Methods Enzymol 2000, 350:513-524.
- 45. Lehner B, Fraser AG: A first-draft human protein-interaction map. Genome Biology 2004, 5:R63.
- Alfarano C, Andrade CE, Anthony K, Bahroos N, Bajec M, Bantoft K, 46. Betel D, Bobechko B, Boutilier K, Burgess E, Buzadzija K, Cavero R, D'Abreo C, Donaldson I, Dorairajoo D, Dumontier MJ, Dumontier MR, Earles V, Farrall R, Feldman H, Garderman E, Gong Y, Gonzaga R, Grytsan V, Gryz E, Gu V, Haldorsen E, Halupa A, Haw R, Hrvojic A, Hurrell L, Isserlin R, Jack F, Juma F, Khan A, Kon T, Konopinsky S, Le V, Lee E, Ling S, Magidin M, Moniakis J, Montojo J, Moore S, Muskat B, Ng I, Paraiso JP, Parker B, Pintilie G, Pirone R, Salama JJ, Sgro S, Shan T, Shu Y, Siew J, Skinner D, Snyder K, Stasiuk R, Strumpf D, Tuekam B, Tao S, Wang Z, White M, Willis R, Wolting C, Wong S, Wrong A, Xin C, Yao R, Yates B, Zhang S, Zheng K, Pawson T, Ouellette BF, Hogue CW: The Biomolecular Interaction Network Database and related tools 2005 update. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33 Database Issue:D418-24.
- 47. Peri S, Navarro JD, Amanchy R, Kristiansen TZ, Jonnalagadda CK, Surendranath V, Niranjan V, Muthusamy B, Gandhi TK, Gronborg M, Ibarrola N, Deshpande N, Shanker K, Shivashankar HN, Rashmi BP, Ramya MA, Zhao Z, Chandrika KN, Padma N, Harsha HC, Yatish AJ, Kavitha MP, Menezes M, Choudhury DR, Suresh S, Ghosh N, Saravana R, Chandran S, Krishna S, Joy M, Ánand SK, Madavan V, Joseph A, Wong GW, Schiemann WP, Constantinescu SN, Huang L, Khosravi-Far R, Steen H, Tewari M, Ghaffari S, Blobe GC, Dang ČV, Garcia JG, Pevsner J, Jensen ON, Roepstorff P, Deshpande KS, Chinnaiyan AM, Hamosh A, Chakravarti A, Pandey A: Development of human protein reference database as an initial platform for approaching systems biology in humans. Genome Res 2003, 13:2363-2371
- 48 Gansner ER, North SC: An open graph visualization system and its applications to software engineering. Softw Pract Exper, 2000, 30:1203-1233
- PixelGlow [http://www.pixelglow.com]
- 50. GraphViz [http://www.research.att.com/sw/tools/graphviz]

